

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,909	04/06/2006	Vincent Carlier	4005-0277PUS1	7126	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER		
			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	· .		2131		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) CARLIER ET AL. 10/574,909 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit

	Christian La Forgia	2131	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	·	
(1) Christian La Forgia.	(3) Guy Souschard.		
(2) Joseph McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2007</u> .	•		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 2004/0187035 A1 t</u>	o SCHWAN.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The representative for Applicant propose invention from the cited prior art. The Examiner will take ful Applicants</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendative for the invention from the cited prior art. The Examiner will take ful to the full full form the cited prior art. The Examiner will take full full full full full full full ful	ed some amendments that appointment action upon receiving a same aments which the examiner ag	ion and how it was ear to distinguis formal response reed would rend	as different h the from the er the claims
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	• •	ould render the	claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an			

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20071004

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

OCT-03-2007 WED 06:25 PM BSKB FAX 402

(703) 698-8590 (GIV)

P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000 Phone: (703) 205-8050 Fax:

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Fax

То:	Examiner Christian Larorgi	a From:	Joe McKinney Muncy	,
			Reg. No. 32,334	
Fax:	571-273-3792	Date:	Oc tober 3, 2007	
Phone:	571-272-3792	Pages:	(including cover	sheet)
Your Ref.:	US Serial No. 10/574,909	Qur Ref.:	4005-0277PUS1	
Re:	October 4 Interview	CC:		
<u></u> Urgant	For Review	Please Comment	Please Reply	Please Recycle
ecolidanial, a	sion is intended for the sole use of the and exempt from disclosure under approprise this time intended a notify this tirm immediately by collect	licable law, 4 on are hereby from	is smirely prohibited. If your rec	eipt of this transmission is it
Comments:		D	o Not Enter - for In	terview Purposes
Examiner You have below.	Laforgia: asked for an outline of wha	at we will discuss during	g the interview tomorrov	w which is given

- 1. The invention relates to a method for protecting an algorithm.
- 2. According to the invention the algorithm is separated into initial polynomials of at least two variables, each and having a degree of not less than two, and then at least two initial polynomials are combined into combined polynomials, and the combined polynomials are implemented in a programmable processor unit.
- 3. The algorithm may in particular be a DES algorithm.
- 4. In the passage cited by the examiner page 5 lines 22-30, it is mentioned that when the invention is implemented with a DES algorithm it is then necessary to combine more than two initial polynomials.
- 5. Contrary to the analysis of the examiner in point 7 of the Office Action, this does not mean that it was known to combine initial polynomials of a DES algorithm and to implement the combined polynomials.

Serial No. 10/574,909

Do Not Enter - for Interview purposes

Page 2 of 2

- 6. SWAN discloses the use of a DES algorithm for proceeding to an authentification of a user and by no means a method for protecting the algorithm itself.
- 7. In other words, the algorithm is a tool for an authentification of a user from authentification data. For determining the authentification data to which a hacker cannot have access, he tries to modify the algorithm and he observes the corresponding variations when the algorithm is implemented. The method of the invention protects the algorithm while SWAN simply uses the algorithm.

We look forward to explaining our invention and having our discussion tomorrow.

Joe Mc Kinney Muncy

Reg. No. 32 334